







MULTI-TEMPORAL INVENTORY







We evaluated the **landslide susceptibility** using three statistical models (linear and quadratic discriminant analysis, and a logistic regression models), and we adopted a **logistic regression model**, to obtain a forecast combination of the single zonation.

In the models, the **grouping variable** is the **presence or absence of landslide** in each mapping unit, and the **explanatory variables** are obtained from **thematic information**.



For each susceptibility model, we evaluated the **model skills** and we tested the **model predictive performance** using independent landslide information.

SUSCEPTIBILITY MODELS





Frequency 0 100 150 200 2 DTM Lithology 20 0.0 0.2 0.45 0.55 0.8 1.0 Structural data Observed: No Landslide 272 145 andslide 0.8 Predicted: Landslide Hit Rate 0.4 0.6 Soil use Predicted: 0.2 $A_{ROC} = 0.847$ 0 414 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.60.8 1.0 63 Observed: Landslide False Alarm Rate **Quadratic Discriminant Analysis Logistic Regression** Frequency 400 Frequency 100 200 20 0.0 0.2 0.45 0.55 0.8 0.0 0.2 0.45 0.55 0.8 1.0 Observed: No Landslide Observed: No Landslide 0.9 0.5 0.1 No Landslide Predicted: No Landslide 129 0.3 0.8 0.8 Landslide Predicted: Landslid Rate 0.6 Hit Rate 0.4 0.6 0.4 Ŧ Predicted: Predicted: 1 0.2 0.2 $A_{ROC} = 0.853$ $A_{ROC} = 0.87$ 0 0 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 0.0 437 410 False Alarm Rate False Alarm Rate Observed: Landslide Observed: Landslide

SUSCEPTIBILITY MODELS

Linear Discriminant Analysis



















THE UPPER TIBER BASIN







LANDSLIDE SUSCEPTIBILITY







LANDSLIDE SUSCEPTIBILITY





How reliable the spatial models are?

How do we obtain independent information to validate our models?



Is there new information available to improve the forecasts?



How do we combine multiple spatial forecasts?

How environmental and climate changes will affect the geographical distribution of future landslides?

OPEN PROBLEMS





The probability of landslide size is the likelihood that a failure will exceed a given area.



Two distributions have been shown to describe the frequency-area statistics of landslides. Double Pareto distribution (Stark & Hovius, 2001)

$$P(A_{L}) = \int_{a_{L}}^{\infty} p(A_{L};\alpha,\beta,l,m,c) dA_{L} = \int_{a_{L}}^{\infty} \frac{\beta}{l(1-\delta)} \left[\frac{\left[1 + (m/l)^{-\alpha}\right]^{\beta/\alpha}}{\left[1 + (A_{L}/l)^{-\alpha}\right]^{1+(\beta/\alpha)}} \right] (A_{L}/l)^{-(\alpha+1)} dA_{L}$$

Inverse Gamma distribution (Malamud & alii, 2004)

$$P(A_L) = \int_{a_L}^{\infty} p(A_L; \rho, a, s) dA_L = \int_{a_L}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a\Gamma(\rho)} \left[\frac{a}{A_L - s}\right]^{\rho+1} exp\left[-\frac{a}{A_L - s}\right] dA_L$$

PROBABILITY OF LANDSLIDE SIZE







The plot shows the probability density of landslide area obtained from the multi-temporal inventory. The curves can be used to model the probability that a landslide will exceed a given size.

PROBABILITY OF LANDSLIDE SIZE





We lack a physically based model for the probability of landslide size.

Is landslide area a good proxy for magnitude?

Are there better proxies?



Will statistics of landslide size remain the same in the future, as they were observed in the past?







The temporal probability of failures depends on the number of landslides that occur in a period.

$$P(N_L) = P[N_L(t) \ge 1]$$

Different distributions can be adopted, including Poisson, binomial, Weibull, and mixed exponential distributions.

 $P[N(t) \ge 1] = 1 - P[N(t) = 0] = 1 - \exp(-\lambda t) = 1 - \exp(-t/\mu)$ (Crovelli, 2000)

Assuming a Poisson distribution, the probability of experiencing landslides during time t is conditioned on the rate of landslide occurrence (λ), which is related to the mean recurrence interval between events (μ).

TEMPORAL PROBABILITY

TEMPORAL PROBABILITY

irpi





CHANGES: Course on probabilistic risk assessment 22-23 September 2011, Stryszawa, Poland







Are landslides random events in time?



How climate and environmental changes will affect the frequency of landslides?



Will the recurrence of landslides remain the same in the future?



Can we use past events to predict future events?







Caine N (1980) The rainfall intensity-duration control of shallow landslides and debris flows. Geogr. Ann. A 62: 23-27



Caine was first to attempt a world wide analysis of the rainfall intensity-duration conditions that can result in landslides

INTENSITY-DURATION THRESHOLD



RAINFALL I-D THRESHOLDS IN ITALY





How reliable are our empirical rainfall thresholds



How can we determine rainfall thresholds where landslide and rainfall information is not available?

To what extent climate change will affect existing rainfall thresholds?



How can we incorporate empirical rainfall thresholds in probabilistic hazard assessments?

OPEN PROBLEMS















irpi



LANDSLIDE HAZARD ASSESSMENT





Will landslides occur in the future under the same circumstances and because of the same factors that produced them in the past?

Are the three probabilities of landslide size, of landslide temporal occurrence, and of spatial occurrence of landslides, independent?







DIFFERENT METHODS HEVE BEEN PROPOSED TO PREPARE SUSCEPTIBILITY MAPS

SUSCEPTIBILITY MAPS CAN BE PREPARED USING CONSISTENT, SCIENTIFICALLY-BASED, AND REPRODUCIBLE METHODS

SUSCEPTIBILITY MAPS CAN BE PREPARED FOR LARGE AREAS

THE QUALITY OF A LANDSLIDE SUSCEPTIBILITY MODEL SHOULD BE VERIFIED AND TESTED

LANDSLIDE SUSCEPTIBILITY EVALUATION IS AN IMPORTANT COMPONET FOR THE HAZARD







METHODS FOR THE TEMPORAL PROBABILITY AND PROBABILITY OF LANDSLIDE SIZE HAVE BEEN PROPOSED

THE PREDICTIVE SKILL OF A LANDSLIDE HAZARD MODEL SHOULD BE VERIFIED AND TESTED

FOR EACH COMPONENT OF THE LANDSLIDE HAZARD EVALUATION WE HAVE IDENTIFIED SEVERAL PROBLEMS

LANDSLIDE HAZARD EVALUATION IS AN IMPORTANT COMPONENT FOR THE RISK ASSESSMENT

FINAL REMARKS







http://geomorphology.irpi.cnr.it/publications



irpi



PROBLEMS??? QUESTIONS???